System-by-Design Optimization of Spline-Shaped Radomes: Preliminary Study

M. Salucci, G. Oliveri, M. A. Hannan and A. Massa

Contents

1	Fitr	ness de	finition	3
	1.1	Beam	Pointing Error	3
2	Geo	ometry	and optimization parameters	4
3	Des	ign 1 -	"narrow training bounds" ($t_i \in [0.4\lambda_r, 0.6\lambda_r]$)	6
	3.1	Analys	sis of the training set $(LHS, N = 250)$	6
		3.1.1	Parameters	6
		3.1.2	Predicted Fitness Values	8
		3.1.3	Prediction error vs. training size	10
		3.1.4	Prediction error vs. time saving	11
		3.1.5	Prediction errors and time saving vs. training size	12
		3.1.6	Computational time for the training set generation (Intel(R) $Core(TM)$ i5-3570 @ 3.40GHz,	
			8-GB-Ram)	12

1 Fitness definition

1.1 Beam Pointing Error

The fitness (cost function) associated to the trial individual ${\bf x}$ is defined as

$$\Phi_{i}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{N_{f}} \frac{1}{N_{\theta}} \sum_{n=1}^{N_{f}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{\theta}} \left[\theta_{j} - \widehat{\theta}_{i}(\theta_{j}, f_{n}) \right]^{2}$$

where

- N_f is the number of frequency steps
- N_{θ} is the number of beam pointing directions
- $f_n, n = 1, ..., N_f$ is the *n*-th frequency sample
- $\theta_j, j = 1, ..., N_{\theta}$ is the *j*-th angular sample
- $\theta_j(\theta_j, f_n), j = 1, ..., N_{\theta}$ is the actual pointing direction of the antenna enclosed in the radome.





(1)

2 Geometry and optimization parameters

Figure (2) shows the optimized geometry. Table (I) shows the parameters that have not been considered during the radome optimization, while Table (II) shows the optimization parameters.



Figure 2: Geometry of the radome.

Parameter	Description
	Length of the radome
D	Base diameter of the radome
t_0	Thickness of the base and of the top of the radome
$z_1,, z_5$	z-coordinates of the spline control points
ν	External curvature of the radome $(\nu \in [1, 2])$
ε	Permittivity of the radome material
$ an\delta$	Tangent delta of the radome material

Table I: List of non-optimized radome parameters.

Parameter	Description
$t_1,, t_5$	Radome thickness at the quota $z = z_1,, z_5$

Table II: List of radome parameters considered during the optimization.



Figure 3: Radome 3D Model.

3 Design 1 - "narrow training bounds" $(t_i \in [0.4\lambda_r, 0.6\lambda_r])$

3.1 Analysis of the training set (LHS, N = 250)

This section reports the results of the simulations performed in order to analyze the accuracy of the Krigingbased predictor with different correlation models.

3.1.1 Parameters

Optimization targets

- Number of variables: K = 5;
- Frequency range:
 - Minimum frequency: $f_{min} = 10.75 \ [GHz];$
 - Maximum frequency: $f_{max} = 14.50 \ [GHz];$
 - Number of frequency steps: $N_f = 10 \ (\Delta f \simeq 0.42 \ [GHz]);$
 - Central frequency: $f_0 = \frac{f_{min} + f_{max}}{2} \simeq 12.63 \ [GHz];$
 - Free-space wavelength at the central frequency: $\lambda_0 = \frac{c}{f_0} = 2.37 \times 10^{-2} \ [m];$
- Scanning angle range:
 - Minimum scanning angle: $\theta_{min} = 0 \ [deg];$
 - Maximum scanning angle: $\theta_{max} = 45 \ [deg];$
 - Number of angular steps: $N_{\theta} = 4 \ (\theta_1 = 0 \ [deg], \theta_2 = 15 \ [deg], \theta_3 = 30 \ [deg], \theta_4 = 45 \ [deg]);$

Kriging (Gaussian Process Regressor) parameters

- Regression model: constant (Ordinary Kriging);
- Correlation models:
 - Exponential (p = 1);
 - Gaussian (p=2);
- Initial guess for hyper-parameters θ_h : $\theta_{h,0} = 0.5$, for h = 1, ..., K;
- Lower bound for hyper-parameters θ_h : $min \{\theta_h\} = 0.1$, for h = 1, ..., K;
- Upper bound for hyper-parameters θ_h : $max \{\theta_h\} = 20.0$, for h = 1, ..., K;

Incremental training parameters

• Number of available simulations: S = 250 (LHS sampling);

• Dimension of the training sets: $N_1 = 20$, $N_{max} = N_L = 200$, step $\Delta N = 20$;

Not-optimized	(static)	radome	parameter
---------------	----------	--------	-----------

Parameter	Description	Value
L	Length of the radome	$1.59 \times 10^{-1} \ [m] \simeq 6.69 \ \lambda_0$
D	Base diameter of the radome	$1.27 \times 10^{-1} \ [m] \simeq 5.35 \ \lambda_0$
t_0	Thickness of the base and of the top of the radome	$8.20 imes 10^{-3} [m] \simeq rac{\lambda_r}{2}$
z_1	z-coordinate of the spline control point 1	$\frac{L-t_0}{6}$
z_2	z-coordinate of the spline control point 2	$2\frac{L-t_0}{6}$
z_3	z-coordinate of the spline control point 3	$3\frac{L-t_0}{6}$
z_4	z-coordinate of the spline control point 4	$4\frac{L-t_0}{6}$
z_5	z-coordinate of the spline control point 5	$5\frac{L-t_0}{6}$
ν	External curvature of the radome $(\nu \in [1, 2])$	1.449 (tangent ogive)
ε_r	Permittivity of the radome material	2.10 (Teflon)
$ an \delta_r$	Tangent delta of the radome material	$tan\delta = 3.00 \times 10^{-4} @ 10.0 [GHz]$ (Teflon)
λ_r	Wavelength in the radome material	$\lambda_r \simeq rac{c}{f_0\sqrt{arepsilon}} \simeq 1.64 imes 10^{-1}$

Table III: List of non-optimized radome parameters.

Antenna Parameters

- Linear dipole array placed over circular ground plane (PEC).
- Number of array elements: $N_e = 8$
- Dipole length: $l_e = \frac{\lambda_0}{2}$
- Array elements spacing: $d_e = \lambda/2$
- Spacing between the array and the ground plane: $h_e = \frac{\lambda_0}{4}$

Parameters boundaries

Parameter	Description	Min	Max
t_1	Radome thickness at the quota $z = z_1$	$6.55 \times 10^{-3} [m] (0.4 \lambda_r)$	$9.83 \times 10^{-3} [m] \ (0.6\lambda_r)$
t_2	Radome thickness at the quota $z = z_2$	$6.55 \times 10^{-3} [m] (0.4\lambda_r)$	$9.83 \times 10^{-3} [m] \ (0.6\lambda_r)$
t_3	Radome thickness at the quota $z = z_3$	$6.55 \times 10^{-3} [m] \ (0.4\lambda_r)$	$9.83 \times 10^{-3} [m] \ (0.6\lambda_r)$
t_4	Radome thickness at the quota $z = z_4$	$6.55 \times 10^{-3} [m] (0.4\lambda_r)$	$9.83 \times 10^{-3} [m] \ (0.6\lambda_r)$
t_5	Radome thickness at the quota $z = z_5$	$6.55 \times 10^{-3} [m] (0.4\lambda_r)$	$9.83 \times 10^{-3} [m] \ (0.6\lambda_r)$

Table IV: List of all considered boundaries for the optimized radome descriptors.





Figure 4: Actual and predicted functional values of 50 random individuals for different training sizes (N): (a) N = 20, (b) N = 80, (c) N = 140 and (d) N = 200.



Figure 5: Plot of predicted vs actual values for (a), (c), (e), (g) Gaussian Correlation Model and (b), (d), (f), (h) Exponential Correlation Model for different training sizes (N): (a),(b) N = 20, (c),(d) N = 80, (e),(f) N = 140 and (g),(h) N = 200.

3.1.3 Prediction error vs. training size



Figure 6: Prediction errors vs. training size (N) when considering an incremental training with random selection of N training samples form a set of S available simulations and testing the corresponding Kriging model on a test set made by the remaining M = (S - N) simulations.

		Gaussian Correlation			Exponential Correlation		
N	M	NME	ME	VNMSE	NME	ME	VNMSE
20	230	1.40×10^{-1}	$3.50 imes 10^{-2}$	3.69×10^{-1}	1.47×10^{-1}	3.83×10^{-2}	4.04×10^{-1}
80	170	8.80×10^{-2}	$1.85 imes 10^{-2}$	2.05×10^{-1}	8.30×10^{-2}	1.38×10^{-2}	1.53×10^{-1}
140	110	7.15×10^{-2}	1.05×10^{-2}	1.12×10^{-1}	5.85×10^{-2}	4.82×10^{-3}	5.92×10^{-2}
200	50	7.71×10^{-2}	1.02×10^{-2}	1.09×10^{-1}	6.29×10^{-2}	5.21×10^{-3}	$5.58 imes 10^{-2}$

Table V: Prediction errors vs. training size (N).





Figure 7: Prediction errors vs. Time Saving (Δt^{saving}) .





Figure 8: Plot of Time Saving (Δt^{saving}) with (a) Normalized Mean Error (*NME*) and (b) Matching Error (*ME*) vs training size (*N*) when considering an incremental training with random selection of N_l training samples form a set of *S* available simulations and testing the corresponding Kriging model on a test set made by the remaining $M_l = (S - N_l)$ simulations.

3.1.6 Computational time for the training set generation (Intel(R) Core(TM) i5-3570 @ 3.40GHz, 8-GB-Ram)

- Average time to compute the fitness associated to a trial solution: $\Delta t_{avg}^{sim} \simeq 7620 \ [sec] \ (\simeq 2.12 \ [h]);$
- Time required to compute the $\tau = 250$ training samples: $\Delta t_{tot}^{sim} \simeq 1.91 \times 10^6$ [sec] $\simeq 529$ [h] $\simeq 22$ [days].

More information on the topics of this document can be found in the following list of references.

References

- A. Massa, D. Marcantonio, X. Chen, M. Li, and M. Salucci, "DNNs as applied to electromagnetics, antennas, and propagation - A review," *IEEE Antennas and Wirel. Propag. Lett.*, vol. 18, no. 11, pp. 2225-2229, Nov. 2019.
- [2] A. Massa, G. Oliveri, M. Salucci, N. Anselmi, and P. Rocca, "Learning-by-examples techniques as applied to electromagnetics," *Journal of Electromagnetic Waves and Applications, Invited Review Article*, pp. 1-16, 2017.
- [3] G. Oliveri, M. Salucci, and A. Massa, "Towards reflectarray digital twins An EM-driven machine learning perspective," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag. - Special Issue on 'Machine Learning in Antenna Design, Modeling, and Measurements*', vol. 70, no. 7, pp. 5078-5093, July 2022.
- [4] M. Salucci, L. Tenuti, G. Oliveri, and A. Massa, "Efficient prediction of the EM response of reflectarray antenna elements by an advanced statistical learning method," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 66, no. 8, pp. 3995-4007, Aug. 2018.
- [5] M. Salucci, G. Oliveri, M. A. Hannan, and A. Massa, "System-by-design paradigm-based synthesis of complex systems: The case of spline-contoured 3D radomes," *IEEE Antennas and Propagation Magazine -*Special Issue on 'Artificial Intelligence in Electromagnetics,', vol. 64, no. 1, pp. 72-83, Feb. 2022.
- [6] G. Oliveri, P. Rocca, M. Salucci, and A. Massa, "Holographic smart EM skins for advanced beam power shaping in next generation wireless environments," *IEEE J. Multiscale Multiphysics Comput. Tech.*, vol. 6, pp. 171-182, Oct. 2021.
- [7] G. Oliveri, A. Gelmini, A. Polo, N. Anselmi, and A. Massa, "System-by-design multi-scale synthesis of task-oriented reflectarrays," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 68, no. 4, pp. 2867-2882, Apr. 2020.
- [8] M. Salucci, L. Tenuti, G. Gottardi, A. Hannan, and A. Massa, "System-by-design method for efficient linear array miniaturisation through low-complexity isotropic lenses" *Electronic Letters*, vol. 55, no. 8, pp. 433-434, May 2019.
- [9] M. Salucci, N. Anselmi, S. Goudos, and A. Massa, "Fast design of multiband fractal antennas through a system-by-design approach for NB-IoT applications," *EURASIP J. Wirel. Commun. Netw.*, vol. 2019, no. 1, pp. 68-83, Mar. 2019.
- [10] M. Salucci, G. Oliveri, N. Anselmi, and A. Massa, "Material-by-design synthesis of conformal miniaturized linear phased arrays," *IEEE Access*, vol. 6, pp. 26367-26382, 2018.

- [11] M. Salucci, G. Oliveri, N. Anselmi, G. Gottardi, and A. Massa, "Performance enhancement of linear active electronically-scanned arrays by means of MbD-synthesized metalenses," *Journal of Electromagnetic Waves* and Applications, vol. 32, no. 8, pp. 927-955, 2018.
- [12] G. Oliveri, M. Salucci, N. Anselmi and A. Massa, "Multiscale System-by-Design synthesis of printed WAIMs for waveguide array enhancement," *IEEE J. Multiscale Multiphysics Computat. Techn.*, vol. 2, pp. 84-96, 2017.
- [13] A. Massa and G. Oliveri, "Metamaterial-by-Design: Theory, methods, and applications to communications and sensing - Editorial," *EPJ Applied Metamaterials*, vol. 3, no. E1, pp. 1-3, 2016.
- [14] G. Oliveri, F. Viani, N. Anselmi, and A. Massa, "Synthesis of multi-layer WAIM coatings for planar phased arrays within the system-by-design framework," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 63, no. 6, pp. 2482-2496, June 2015.
- [15] G. Oliveri, L. Tenuti, E. Bekele, M. Carlin, and A. Massa, "An SbD-QCTO approach to the synthesis of isotropic metamaterial lenses" *IEEE Antennas Wireless Propag. Lett.*, vol. 13, pp. 1783-1786, 2014.
- [16] A. Massa, G. Oliveri, P. Rocca, and F. Viani, "System-by-Design: a new paradigm for handling design complexity," 8th European Conference on Antennas Propag. (EuCAP 2014), The Hague, The Netherlands, pp. 1180-1183, Apr. 6-11, 2014.
- [17] P. Rocca, M. Benedetti, M. Donelli, D. Franceschini, and A. Massa, "Evolutionary optimization as applied to inverse problems," *Inverse Problems - 25 th Year Special Issue of Inverse Problems, Invited Topical Review*, vol. 25, pp. 1-41, Dec. 2009.
- [18] P. Rocca, G. Oliveri, and A. Massa, "Differential Evolution as applied to electromagnetics," *IEEE Antennas Propag. Mag.*, vol. 53, no. 1, pp. 38-49, Feb. 2011.
- [19] P. Rocca, N. Anselmi, A. Polo, and A. Massa, "Pareto-optimal domino-tiling of orthogonal polygon phased arrays," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 70, no. 5, pp. 3329-3342, May 2022.
- [20] P. Rocca, N. Anselmi, A. Polo, and A. Massa, "An irregular two-sizes square tiling method for the design of isophoric phased arrays," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 68, no. 6, pp. 4437-4449, Jun. 2020.
- [21] P. Rocca, N. Anselmi, A. Polo, and A. Massa, "Modular design of hexagonal phased arrays through diamond tiles," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol.68, no. 5, pp. 3598-3612, May 2020.
- [22] N. Anselmi, L. Poli, P. Rocca, and A. Massa, "Design of simplified array layouts for preliminary experimental testing and validation of large AESAs," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 66, no. 12, pp. 6906-6920, Dec. 2018.
- [23] N. Anselmi, P. Rocca, M. Salucci, and A. Massa, "Contiguous phase-clustering in multibeam-on-receive scanning arrays," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 66, no. 11, pp. 5879-5891, Nov. 2018.

- [24] G. Oliveri, G. Gottardi, F. Robol, A. Polo, L. Poli, M. Salucci, M. Chuan, C. Massagrande, P. Vinetti, M. Mattivi, R. Lombardi, and A. Massa, "Co-design of unconventional array architectures and antenna elements for 5G base station," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 65, no. 12, pp. 6752-6767, Dec. 2017.
- [25] N. Anselmi, P. Rocca, M. Salucci, and A. Massa, "Irregular phased array tiling by means of analytic schemata-driven optimization," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 65, no. 9, pp. 4495-4510, Sept. 2017.
- [26] N. Anselmi, P. Rocca, M. Salucci, and A. Massa, "Optimization of excitation tolerances for robust beamforming in linear arrays" *IET Microwaves, Antennas & Propagation*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 208-214, 2016.
- [27] P. Rocca, R. J. Mailloux, and G. Toso, "GA-Based optimization of irregular sub-array layouts for wideband phased arrays desig," *IEEE Antennas and Wireless Propag. Lett.*, vol. 14, pp. 131-134, 2015.
- [28] P. Rocca, M. Donelli, G. Oliveri, F. Viani, and A. Massa, "Reconfigurable sum-difference pattern by means of parasitic elements for forward-looking monopulse radar," *IET Radar, Sonar & Navigation*, vol 7, no. 7, pp. 747-754, 2013.
- [29] P. Rocca, L. Manica, and A. Massa, "Ant colony based hybrid approach for optimal compromise sumdifference patterns synthesis," *Microwave Opt. Technol. Lett.*, vol. 52, no. 1, pp. 128-132, Jan. 2010.
- [30] P. Rocca, L. Manica, and A. Massa, "An improved excitation matching method based on an ant colony optimization for suboptimal-free clustering in sum-difference compromise synthesis," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 57, no. 8, pp. 2297-2306, Aug. 2009.
- [31] N. Anselmi, L. Poli, P. Rocca, and A. Massa, "Design of simplified array layouts for preliminary experimental testing and validation of large AESAs," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 66, no. 12, pp. 6906-6920, Dec. 2018.
- [32] M. Salucci, F. Robol, N. Anselmi, M. A. Hannan, P. Rocca, G. Oliveri, M. Donelli, and A. Massa, "S-Band spline-shaped aperture-stacked patch antenna for air traffic control applications," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 66, no. 8, pp. 4292-4297, Aug. 2018.
- [33] F. Viani, F. Robol, M. Salucci, and R. Azaro, "Automatic EMI filter design through particle swarm optimization," *IEEE Trans. Electromagnet. Compat.*, vol. 59, no. 4, pp. 1079-1094, Aug. 2017.