A Multi-Resolution Approach for *BCS*-Based Imaging of Sparse Scatterers

N. Anselmi, L. Poli, G. Oliveri, and A. Massa

Abstract

In this work, a novel Bayesian compressive sensing (*BCS*)-based microwave imaging method is proposed. The developed technique suitably combines the regularization properties of *CS* techniques with those of the iterative multi-scale approach (*IMSA*), in order to exploit the progressively acquired information on the scatterer location and size and improve the overall accuracy of the retrieved images. Toward this end, an innovative information-driven relevance vector machine (*RVM*) has been developed. Some preliminary results are shown to verify the effectiveness of the proposed *IMSA-BCS* strategy.

1 Mathematical Formulation

Let us consider an inaccessible investigation domain Λ irradiated by a set of incident transverse-magnetic planes $E_{inc}^{v}(\mathbf{r}^{v}), v = 1, ..., V$, impinging from the angular directions $\theta^{v} = \frac{2\pi}{V}(v-1)$, being V the number of views. In this working scenario, the scattered field $E_{scatt}^{v}(\mathbf{r}_{s}^{v}), s = 1, ..., S$, is supposed to be measured through a set of S sensors equally displaced on a circular observation domain Θ , external to the investigation domain $(\Lambda \cap \Theta = 0)$, having radius ρ . The exact location of the sensors are identified by the position vector $\mathbf{r}_{s}^{v} = (\rho \cos \theta_{s}^{v} \sin \theta_{s}^{v})$, being $\theta_{s}^{v} = \theta^{v} + \frac{2\pi}{S}(s-1)$.

This scattered field is known to be dependent on the equivalent currents $J_{eq}^{v}(\mathbf{r})$ generated in the support of the unknown scatterers placed into the domain Λ , according to the *data equation*

$$E_{scatt}^{v}\left(\mathbf{r}_{s}^{v}\right) = -k_{0}^{2} \int_{\Lambda} J_{eq}^{v}\left(\mathbf{r}'\right) G\left(\mathbf{r}_{s}^{v}/\mathbf{r}'\right) \tag{1}$$

where $G(\mathbf{r}_s^v/\mathbf{r}')$ is the Green's function in the free space and $k_0 = \omega \sqrt{\varepsilon_0 \mu_0}$. The material properties of the investigation domain Λ in terms of relative dielectric permittivity $\varepsilon_r(\mathbf{r})$ and electric conductivity $\sigma(\mathbf{r})$ are described by means of the object function

$$\tau \left(\mathbf{r} \right) = \varepsilon_r \left(\mathbf{r} \right) - \varepsilon_0 - \frac{\sigma \left(\mathbf{r} \right)}{2\pi f \varepsilon_0} \tag{2}$$

f being the frequency of the TM plane wave.

In order to numerically deal with (1), the investigation domain is discretized into N sub-domains (cells), providing the matrix form of

$$\mathbf{E}^{v} = \mathbf{G} \mathbf{J}_{eq}^{v} + \mathbf{N}^{v} \tag{3}$$

G being the Green's matrix and \mathbf{N}^v a zero mean additive Gaussian noise vector of variance σ^2 . The dielectric features of the N sub-domains described through the discretized form of the object function τ are then retrieved through the following iterative strategy which combines a multi-resolution approach and the *BCS* method, aimed to maximize the a-posteriori probability of the equivalent sources given the scattered field as:

$$\widehat{\mathbf{J}}_{eq}^{v} = \arg\left\{\max\left[\mathcal{P}\left(\mathbf{J}_{eq}^{v} \middle| \mathbf{E}_{scatt}^{v}\right)\right]\right\}, \qquad v = 1, ..., V$$
(4)

More in detail, the algorithms works as follows:

- 1. Initialization: Definition of input parameters of the BCS problem, namely the initial estimation of the noise on the scattered data, σ_{init}^2 , the convergence parameter, γ , and the parameter related to the stopping criterion of the IMSA, χ . Set the region of interest equal to the whole domain $\mathcal{D}^{(1)} = \Lambda$;
- 2. BCS inversion via "Constrained-RVM":
 - (a) increase of the iteration index: i = i + 1;
 - (b) solution of the BCS problem within the Region of Interest (RoI) $\mathcal{D}^{(i-1)}$ defined at the (i-1)-th step,

by maximizing the following cost function:

$$\ell\left(\mathbf{a}^{v}\right) = -0.5\left[2S\log\left(2\pi\right) + \log\left(\mathbf{C}\right) + \left(\mathbf{E}_{scatt}^{v}\right)^{T}\mathbf{C}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{E}_{scatt}^{v}\right)\right], \qquad v = 1, ..., V$$
(5)

where $\mathbf{C} = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{G} \left[diag \left(\mathbf{a}^v \right) \right]^{-1} \mathbf{G}^T$ and being \mathbf{a}^v the hyperparameter vector whose entries corresponding to the cells out of the *RoI* $\mathcal{D}^{(i-1)}$ are forced to ∞ ;

3. Equivalent Current Retrieval:

Computation of the equivalent currents starting from the hyperparameter vector \mathbf{a}^v according to:

$$\mathbf{J}_{eq}^{v} = \frac{1}{\sigma^{2}} \left[\frac{\mathbf{G}^{T} \mathbf{G}}{\sigma^{2}} diag\left(\mathbf{a}^{v}\right) \right]^{-1} \mathbf{G}^{T} \mathbf{E}_{scatt}^{v}, \qquad v = 1, ..., V$$
(6)

4. Features' Retrieval:

Reconstruction of the material properties of the investigation domain taking advantage from the first order Born approximation through

$$\tau\left(\mathbf{r}_{n}^{(i)}\right) = \frac{1}{V} \sum \frac{\mathbf{J}_{eq}^{v}\left(\mathbf{r}_{n}^{(i)}\right)}{\mathbf{E}_{inc}^{v}\left(\mathbf{r}_{n}^{(i)}\right)}, \qquad n = 1, ..., N$$
(7)

being $\mathbf{r}_n^{(i)}$ the barycenter of the n-th cell within the *RoI* $\mathcal{D}^{(i-1)}$;

5. Convergence Check:

Definition of the new RoI $\mathcal{D}^{(i)}$ according to the contrast function distribution and evaluation of the following termination condition:

$$\left(\frac{L^{(i-1)} - L^{(i)}}{L^{(i)}}\right) < \chi \tag{8}$$

being $L^{(i)}$ the side of the *RoI* $\mathcal{D}^{(i)}$. If such a condition is met, then stop the iterative process, otherwise go to step 2.

2 Preliminary Numerical Assessment

2.1 L-shaped Object, $\ell = 1.5\lambda$

Test Case Description

Direct solver:

- Side of the investigation domain: $L = 6.0\lambda$
- Cubic domain divided in $\sqrt{D} \times \sqrt{D}$ cells
- Number of cells for the direct solver: D = 1600 (discretization = $\lambda/10$)

Investigation domain:

- Cubic domain divided in $\sqrt{N} \times \sqrt{N}$ cells
- Number of cells for the inversion:
 - First Step IMSA: $N^{(1)} = 100$ (discretization = $\lambda/10$)
 - Following Steps IMSA: $N^{(i)}$ not fixed, defined according to the estimated RoI $\mathcal{D}^{(i)}$

Measurement domain:

- Total number of measurements: M = 60
- Measurement points placed on circles of radius $\rho = 4.5\lambda$

Sources:

- Plane waves
- Number of views: V = 60; $\theta_{inc}^v = 0^\circ + (v 1) \times (360/V)$
- Amplitude: A = 1.0
- Frequency: $F = 300 \text{ MHz} (\lambda = 1)$

Background:

- $\varepsilon_r = 1.0$
- $\sigma = 0 \, [\mathrm{S/m}]$

Scatterer

- L-shaped object, $\ell = 1.5\lambda$
- $\varepsilon_r \in \{1.01, 1.02, 1.04, 1.05, 1.06, 1.08, 1.10, 1.15, 1.20\}$
- $\sigma = 0 [S/m]$



Figure 1: L-shaped Object, $\ell = 1.5\lambda$, $\tau = 0.02$ - (a) Actual profile and (b)-(o) IMSA-BCS reconstructed profiles for (b)(e)(h) SNR = 20 [dB], (c)(f)(i) SNR = 10 [dB] and (d)(g)(l) SNR = 5 [dB] at the step (b)-(d) S = 1, (e)-(g) S = 2, and (h)-(l) S = 3.

	SNR = 50 dB					
	S = 1	S=2	S=3	S = 4		
ξ_{tot}	9.01×10^{-4}	5.09×10^{-4}	5.64×10^{-4}	5.64×10^{-4}		
ξ_{int}	1.20×10^{-2}	8.97×10^{-3}	1.04×10^{-2}	1.04×10^{-2}		
ξ_{ext}	$5.30 imes 10^{-4}$	2.29×10^{-4}	2.39×10^{-4}	2.39×10^{-4}		
		SNR =	= 20 <i>dB</i>			
	S = 1	S=2	S=3	S = 4		
ξ_{tot}	9.09×10^{-4}	5.21×10^{-4}	4.85×10^{-4}	5.72×10^{-4}		
ξ_{int}	1.21×10^{-2}	9.18×10^{-3}	8.73×10^{-3}	1.04×10^{-2}		
ξ_{ext}	$5.34 imes 10^{-4}$	$2.35 imes 10^{-4}$	$2.13 imes 10^{-4}$	2.47×10^{-4}		
-						
		SNR =	= 10 <i>dB</i>			
	S = 1	SNR = S = 2	= 10dB $S = 3$	S = 4		
ξtot	S = 1 9.38 × 10 ⁻⁴	$SNR = $ $S = 2$ 5.18×10^{-4}	= $10dB$ S = 3 4.69×10^{-4}	$S = 4$ 5.42×10^{-4}		
ξ_{tot} ξ_{int}	S = 1 9.38×10^{-4} 1.22×10^{-2}	SNR = 0 S = 2 5.18×10^{-4} 8.85×10^{-3}	= $10dB$ S = 3 4.69×10^{-4} 8.26×10^{-3}	S = 4 5.42×10^{-4} 1.01×10^{-2}		
$\frac{\xi_{tot}}{\xi_{int}}$	S = 1 9.38 × 10 ⁻⁴ 1.22 × 10 ⁻² 5.56 × 10 ⁻⁴	SNR = S = 2 5.18×10^{-4} 8.85×10^{-3} 2.42×10^{-4}	= $10dB$ S = 3 4.69×10^{-4} 8.26×10^{-3} 2.12×10^{-4}	S = 4 5.42 × 10 ⁻⁴ 1.01 × 10 ⁻² 2.24 × 10 ⁻⁴		
$\frac{\xi_{tot}}{\xi_{int}}$ $\frac{\xi_{ext}}{\xi_{ext}}$	S = 1 9.38 × 10 ⁻⁴ 1.22 × 10 ⁻² 5.56 × 10 ⁻⁴	SNR = S = 2 5.18×10^{-4} 8.85×10^{-3} 2.42×10^{-4} SNR =	= $10dB$ S = 3 4.69×10^{-4} 8.26×10^{-3} 2.12×10^{-4} = $5dB$	S = 4 5.42 × 10 ⁻⁴ 1.01 × 10 ⁻² 2.24 × 10 ⁻⁴		
$\frac{\xi_{tot}}{\xi_{int}}$ $\frac{\xi_{ext}}{\xi_{ext}}$	S = 1 9.38×10^{-4} 1.22×10^{-2} 5.56×10^{-4} S = 1	SNR = S = 2 5.18×10^{-4} 8.85×10^{-3} 2.42×10^{-4} SNR = S = 2	= $10dB$ S = 3 4.69×10^{-4} 8.26×10^{-3} 2.12×10^{-4} = $5dB$ S = 3	S = 4 5.42 × 10 ⁻⁴ 1.01 × 10 ⁻² 2.24 × 10 ⁻⁴ S = 4		
$\frac{\xi_{tot}}{\xi_{int}}$ $\frac{\xi_{ext}}{\xi_{tot}}$	S = 1 9.38 × 10 ⁻⁴ 1.22 × 10 ⁻² 5.56 × 10 ⁻⁴ S = 1 9.73 × 10 ⁻⁴	SNR = S = 2 5.18×10^{-4} 8.85×10^{-3} 2.42×10^{-4} SNR = S = 2 5.31×10^{-4}	= $10dB$ S = 3 4.69×10^{-4} 8.26×10^{-3} 2.12×10^{-4} = $5dB$ S = 3 4.34×10^{-4}	$S = 4$ 5.42×10^{-4} 1.01×10^{-2} 2.24×10^{-4} $S = 4$ 4.34×10^{-4}		
$\frac{\xi_{tot}}{\xi_{int}}$ $\frac{\xi_{ext}}{\xi_{tot}}$	S = 1 9.38 × 10 ⁻⁴ 1.22 × 10 ⁻² 5.56 × 10 ⁻⁴ S = 1 9.73 × 10 ⁻⁴ 1.22 × 10 ⁻²	SNR = S = 2 5.18×10^{-4} 8.85×10^{-3} 2.42×10^{-4} SNR = S = 2 5.31×10^{-4} 8.84×10^{-3}	= 10dB S = 3 4.69×10^{-4} 8.26×10^{-3} 2.12×10^{-4} = 5dB S = 3 4.34×10^{-4} 7.44×10^{-3}	S = 4 5.42×10^{-4} 1.01×10^{-2} 2.24×10^{-4} S = 4 4.34×10^{-4} 7.44×10^{-3}		

Table I: L-shaped Object, $\ell = 1.5\lambda$, $\tau = 0.02$ - Reconstruction errors: total (ξ_{tot}) , internal (ξ_{int}) and external (ξ_{ext}) errors.

	SNR = 50dB				
	S = 1	S=2	S=3	S = 4	
$L^{(S)}$	6.00	1.50	1.50	1.50	
$N^{(S)}$	100	148	148	148	
$Q^{(S)}$	100	64	25	25	
		SNR =	= 20 dB		
	S = 1	S=2	S=3	S = 4	
$L^{(S)}$	6.00	1.50	1.50	1.50	
$N^{(S)}$	100	148	148	148	
$Q^{(S)}$	100	64	36	25	
		SNR =	= 10 dB		
	S = 1	S=2	S=3	S = 4	
$L^{(S)}$	6.00	1.50	1.50	1.50	
$N^{(S)}$	100	175	175	175	
$Q^{(S)}$	100	100	36	25	
		SNR	= 5dB		
	S = 1	S=2	S=3	S = 4	
$L^{(S)}$	6.00	1.80	1.80	1.80	
$N^{(S)}$	100	175	175	175	
$Q^{(S)}$	100	100	36	36	

Table II: L-shaped Object, $\ell = 1.5\lambda$, $\tau = 0.02$ - Investigation domain parameters: restricted investigation domain size $L^{(S)}$, total number of cells $N^{(S)}$ and number of cells within the restricted domain size $Q^{(S)}$.



Figure 2: L-shaped Object, $\ell = 1.5\lambda$, $\tau = 0.05$ - (a) Actual profile and (b)-(o) IMSA-BCS reconstructed profiles for (b)(e)(h) SNR = 20 [dB], (c)(f)(i) SNR = 10 [dB] and (d)(g)(l) SNR = 5 [dB] at the step (b)-(d) S = 1, (e)-(g) S = 2, and (h)-(l) S = 3.



	SNR = 50 dB					
	S = 1	S=2	S=3	S = 4		
ξ_{tot}	2.75×10^{-3}	1.31×10^{-3}	1.23×10^{-3}	1.23×10^{-3}		
ξ_{int}	2.82×10^{-2}	2.00×10^{-2}	1.99×10^{-2}	1.99×10^{-2}		
ξ_{ext}	1.87×10^{-3}	$6.78 imes 10^{-4}$	6.12×10^{-4}	6.12×10^{-4}		
		SNR =	= 20 <i>dB</i>			
	S = 1	S=2	S=3	S = 4		
ξ_{tot}	2.77×10^{-3}	1.39×10^{-3}	1.23×10^{-3}	1.23×10^{-3}		
ξ_{int}	2.84×10^{-2}	2.14×10^{-2}	1.98×10^{-2}	1.98×10^{-2}		
ξ_{ext}	1.86×10^{-3}	7.21×10^{-4}	$6.17 imes 10^{-4}$	6.17×10^{-4}		
	SNR = 10dB					
		SNR =	= 10 <i>dB</i>			
	S = 1	SNR = S = 2	= 10dB $S = 3$	S = 4		
ξ_{tot}	S = 1 2.81 × 10 ⁻³	$SNR = $ $S = 2$ 1.47×10^{-3}	= $10dB$ S = 3 1.19×10^{-3}	S = 4 1.19×10^{-3}		
ξ_{tot} ξ_{int}	S = 1 2.81 × 10 ⁻³ 2.83 × 10 ⁻²	$SNR =$ $S = 2$ 1.47×10^{-3} 1.98×10^{-2}	= $10dB$ S = 3 1.19×10^{-3} 1.74×10^{-2}	S = 4 1.19 × 10 ⁻³ 1.74 × 10 ⁻²		
ξ_{tot} ξ_{int} ξ_{ext}	S = 1 2.81 × 10 ⁻³ 2.83 × 10 ⁻² 1.87 × 10 ⁻³	SNR = S = 2 1.47×10^{-3} 1.98×10^{-2} 8.23×10^{-4}	= 10dB S = 3 1.19×10^{-3} 1.74×10^{-2} 6.50×10^{-4}	S = 4 1.19 × 10 ⁻³ 1.74 × 10 ⁻² 6.50 × 10 ⁻⁴		
$\frac{\xi_{tot}}{\xi_{int}}$ $\frac{\xi_{ext}}{\xi_{ext}}$	S = 1 2.81 × 10 ⁻³ 2.83 × 10 ⁻² 1.87 × 10 ⁻³	SNR = S = 2 1.47×10^{-3} 1.98×10^{-2} 8.23×10^{-4} SNR =	= 10dB S = 3 1.19×10^{-3} 1.74×10^{-2} 6.50×10^{-4} = 5dB	$S = 4$ 1.19×10^{-3} 1.74×10^{-2} 6.50×10^{-4}		
$\frac{\xi_{tot}}{\xi_{int}}$ ξ_{ext}	S = 1 2.81 × 10 ⁻³ 2.83 × 10 ⁻² 1.87 × 10 ⁻³ $S = 1$	SNR = S = 2 1.47×10^{-3} 1.98×10^{-2} 8.23×10^{-4} SNR = S = 2	$= 10dB$ $S = 3$ 1.19×10^{-3} 1.74×10^{-2} 6.50×10^{-4} $= 5dB$ $S = 3$	$S = 4$ 1.19×10^{-3} 1.74×10^{-2} 6.50×10^{-4} $S = 4$		
$\frac{\xi_{tot}}{\xi_{int}}$ $\frac{\xi_{ext}}{\xi_{tot}}$	S = 1 2.81 × 10 ⁻³ 2.83 × 10 ⁻² 1.87 × 10 ⁻³ $S = 1$ 2.93 × 10 ⁻³	SNR = S = 2 1.47×10^{-3} 1.98×10^{-2} 8.23×10^{-4} SNR = S = 2 1.67×10^{-3}	= 10dB S = 3 1.19×10^{-3} 1.74×10^{-2} 6.50×10^{-4} = 5dB S = 3 1.19×10^{-3}	S = 4 1.19 × 10 ⁻³ 1.74 × 10 ⁻² 6.50 × 10 ⁻⁴ $S = 4$ 1.19 × 10 ⁻³		
$\frac{\xi_{tot}}{\xi_{int}}$ $\frac{\xi_{ext}}{\xi_{tot}}$	S = 1 2.81 × 10 ⁻³ 2.83 × 10 ⁻² 1.87 × 10 ⁻³ $S = 1$ 2.93 × 10 ⁻³ 2.82 × 10 ⁻²	SNR = S = 2 1.47×10^{-3} 1.98×10^{-2} 8.23×10^{-4} SNR = SNR = 1.67×10^{-3} 2.20×10^{-2}	$= 10dB$ $S = 3$ 1.19×10^{-3} 1.74×10^{-2} 6.50×10^{-4} $= 5dB$ $S = 3$ 1.19×10^{-3} 1.66×10^{-2}	$S = 4$ 1.19×10^{-3} 1.74×10^{-2} 6.50×10^{-4} $S = 4$ 1.19×10^{-3} 1.66×10^{-2}		

Table III: L-shaped Object, $\ell = 1.5\lambda$, $\tau = 0.05$ - Reconstruction errors: total (ξ_{tot}) , internal (ξ_{int}) and external (ξ_{ext}) errors.

	SNR = 50 dB				
	S = 1	S = 2	S=3	S = 4	
$L^{(S)}$	6.00	1.80	1.80	1.80	
$N^{(S)}$	100	208	208	208	
$Q^{(S)}$	100	144	36	36	
		SNR =	= 20 dB		
	S = 1	S=2	S=3	S = 4	
$L^{(S)}$	6.00	1.80	1.80	1.80	
$N^{(S)}$	100	208	208	208	
$Q^{(S)}$	100	144	36	36	
		SNR =	= 10 dB		
	S = 1	S=2	S=3	S = 4	
$L^{(S)}$	6.00	1.80	1.80	1.80	
$N^{(S)}$	100	208	208	208	
$Q^{(S)}$	100	144	36	36	
		SNR	= 5dB		
	S = 1	S=2	S=3	S = 4	
$L^{(S)}$	6.00	1.80	1.80	1.80	
$N^{(S)}$	100	208	208	208	
$Q^{(S)}$	100	144	36	36	

Table IV: L-shaped Object, $\ell = 1.5\lambda$, $\tau = 0.05$ - Investigation domain parameters: restricted investigation domain size $L^{(S)}$, total number of cells $N^{(S)}$ and number of cells within the restricted domain size $Q^{(S)}$.



Figure 3: L-shaped Object, $\ell = 1.5\lambda$, $\tau = 0.10$ - (a) Actual profile and (b)-(o) IMSA-BCS reconstructed profiles for (b)(e)(h) SNR = 20 [dB], (c)(f)(i) SNR = 10 [dB] and (d)(g)(l) SNR = 5 [dB] at the step (b)-(d) S = 1, (e)-(g) S = 2, and (h)-(l) S = 3.



	SNR = 50 dB					
	S = 1	S=2	S=3	S = 4		
ξ_{tot}	$5.91 imes 10^{-3}$	2.63×10^{-3}	2.36×10^{-3}	2.36×10^{-3}		
ξ_{int}	5.53×10^{-2}	3.67×10^{-2}	3.50×10^{-2}	3.50×10^{-2}		
ξ_{ext}	4.05×10^{-3}	1.40×10^{-3}	1.21×10^{-3}	1.21×10^{-3}		
		SNR =	20dB			
	S = 1	S = 2	S = 3	S = 4		
ξ_{tot}	5.89×10^{-3}	2.85×10^{-3}	2.42×10^{-3}	2.42×10^{-3}		
ξ_{int}	5.55×10^{-2}	3.99×10^{-2}	3.57×10^{-2}	3.57×10^{-2}		
ξ_{ext}	4.03×10^{-3}	1.51×10^{-3}	1.25×10^{-3}	1.25×10^{-3}		
	SNR = 10dB					
		SNR =	10dB			
	S = 1	SNR = S = 2	10dB $S = 3$	S = 4		
ξtot	S = 1 5.97 × 10 ⁻³	$SNR =$ $S = 2$ 2.91×10^{-3}	10dB S = 3 2.55×10^{-3}	$\frac{S=4}{2.55\times10^{-3}}$		
ξ_{tot} ξ_{int}	S = 1 5.97 × 10 ⁻³ 5.49 × 10 ⁻²	SNR = S = 2 2.91×10^{-3} 3.67×10^{-2}	$ 10dB \\ S = 3 \\ 2.55 \times 10^{-3} \\ 3.55 \times 10^{-2} $	S = 4 2.55 × 10 ⁻³ 3.55 × 10 ⁻²		
$\frac{\xi_{tot}}{\xi_{int}}$	S = 1 5.97×10^{-3} 5.49×10^{-2} 4.06×10^{-3}	SNR = S = 2 2.91×10^{-3} 3.67×10^{-2} 1.64×10^{-3}	$ 10dB S = 3 2.55 \times 10^{-3} 3.55 \times 10^{-2} 1.39 \times 10^{-3} $	S = 4 2.55 × 10 ⁻³ 3.55 × 10 ⁻² 1.39 × 10 ⁻³		
$\frac{\xi_{tot}}{\xi_{int}}$ $\frac{\xi_{ext}}{\xi_{ext}}$	S = 1 5.97 × 10 ⁻³ 5.49 × 10 ⁻² 4.06 × 10 ⁻³	SNR = S = 2 2.91×10^{-3} 3.67×10^{-2} 1.64×10^{-3} SNR =	$S = 3$ 2.55×10^{-3} 3.55×10^{-2} 1.39×10^{-3} $= 5dB$	$S = 4$ 2.55×10^{-3} 3.55×10^{-2} 1.39×10^{-3}		
$\frac{\xi_{tot}}{\xi_{int}}$ $\frac{\xi_{ext}}{\xi_{ext}}$	S = 1 5.97×10^{-3} 5.49×10^{-2} 4.06×10^{-3} S = 1	SNR = S = 2 2.91×10^{-3} 3.67×10^{-2} 1.64×10^{-3} SNR = S = 2		S = 4 2.55 × 10 ⁻³ 3.55 × 10 ⁻² 1.39 × 10 ⁻³ S = 4		
$\frac{\xi_{tot}}{\xi_{int}}$ $\frac{\xi_{ext}}{\xi_{tot}}$	S = 1 5.97 × 10 ⁻³ 5.49 × 10 ⁻² 4.06 × 10 ⁻³ S = 1 6.34 × 10 ⁻³	SNR = S = 2 2.91×10^{-3} 3.67×10^{-2} 1.64×10^{-3} SNR = S = 2 3.91×10^{-3}	$ 10dB S = 3 2.55 \times 10^{-3} 3.55 \times 10^{-2} 1.39 \times 10^{-3} = 5dB S = 3 2.47 \times 10^{-3} $	S = 4 2.55 × 10 ⁻³ 3.55 × 10 ⁻² 1.39 × 10 ⁻³ $S = 4$ 2.47 × 10 ⁻³		
$\frac{\xi_{tot}}{\xi_{int}}$ $\frac{\xi_{ext}}{\xi_{tot}}$	$S = 1$ 5.97×10^{-3} 5.49×10^{-2} 4.06×10^{-3} $S = 1$ 6.34×10^{-3} 5.49×10^{-2}	$SNR = SNR = SNR = S = 2$ 2.91 × 10 ⁻³ 3.67 × 10 ⁻² 1.64 × 10 ⁻³ $SNR = S = 2$ 3.91 × 10 ⁻³ $NaN \times 10^{-a}$		$S = 4$ 2.55×10^{-3} 3.55×10^{-2} 1.39×10^{-3} $S = 4$ 2.47×10^{-3} 3.22×10^{-2}		

Table V: L-shaped Object, $\ell = 1.5\lambda$, $\tau = 0.10$ - Reconstruction errors: total (ξ_{tot}) , internal (ξ_{int}) and external (ξ_{ext}) errors.

	SNR = 50dB				
	S = 1	S = 2	S=3	S = 4	
$L^{(S)}$	6.00	1.80	1.80	1.80	
$N^{(S)}$	100	208	208	208	
$Q^{(S)}$	100	144	36	36	
		SNR =	= 20 dB		
	S = 1	S=2	S=3	S = 4	
$L^{(S)}$	6.00	1.80	1.80	1.80	
$N^{(S)}$	100	208	208	208	
$Q^{(S)}$	100	144	36	36	
		SNR =	= 10 dB		
	S = 1	S=2	S=3	S = 4	
$L^{(S)}$	6.00	1.80	1.80	1.80	
$N^{(S)}$	100	208	208	208	
$Q^{(S)}$	100	144	36	36	
		SNR	= 5dB		
	S = 1	S=2	S=3	S = 4	
$L^{(S)}$	6.00	1.80	1.80	1.80	
$N^{(S)}$	100	208	208	208	
$Q^{(S)}$	100	144	36	36	

Table VI: L-shaped Object, $\ell = 1.5\lambda$, $\tau = 0.10$ - Investigation domain parameters: restricted investigation domain size $L^{(S)}$, total number of cells $N^{(S)}$ and number of cells within the restricted domain size $Q^{(S)}$.



Figure 4: L-shaped Object, $\ell = 1.5\lambda$, $\tau = 0.15$ - (a) Actual profile and (b)-(o) IMSA-BCS reconstructed profiles for (b)(e)(h) SNR = 20 [dB], (c)(f)(i) SNR = 10 [dB] and (d)(g)(l) SNR = 5 [dB] at the step (b)-(d) S = 1, (e)-(g) S = 2, and (h)-(l) S = 3.

		SNR = 50 dB					
	S = 1	S=2	S=3	S = 4			
ξ_{tot}	8.88×10^{-3}	4.04×10^{-3}	3.48×10^{-3}	3.48×10^{-3}			
ξ_{int}	8.11×10^{-2}	5.20×10^{-2}	4.62×10^{-2}	4.62×10^{-2}			
ξ_{ext}	$6.00 imes 10^{-3}$	2.17×10^{-3}	1.79×10^{-3}	$1.79 imes 10^{-3}$			
		SNR =	= 20 <i>dB</i>				
	S = 1	S=2	S=3	S = 4			
ξ_{tot}	8.92×10^{-3}	4.26×10^{-3}	3.69×10^{-3}	3.69×10^{-3}			
ξ_{int}	8.01×10^{-2}	5.46×10^{-2}	4.97×10^{-2}	4.97×10^{-2}			
ξ_{ext}	$5.97 imes 10^{-3}$	2.33×10^{-3}	1.92×10^{-3}	1.92×10^{-3}			
		SNR =	= 10 <i>dB</i>				
	S = 1	SNR = S = 2	= 10dB $S = 3$	S = 4			
ξ _{tot}	S = 1 9.16 × 10 ⁻³	$SNR = $ $S = 2$ 4.68×10^{-3}	= $10dB$ S = 3 3.87×10^{-3}	$S = 4$ 3.87×10^{-3}			
ξ_{tot} ξ_{int}	S = 1 9.16 × 10 ⁻³ 8.02 × 10 ⁻²	SNR = S = 2 4.68×10^{-3} 5.41×10^{-2}	= $10dB$ S = 3 3.87×10^{-3} 5.03×10^{-2}	S = 4 3.87×10^{-3} 5.03×10^{-2}			
$\frac{\xi_{tot}}{\xi_{int}}$	S = 1 9.16 × 10 ⁻³ 8.02 × 10 ⁻² 6.17 × 10 ⁻³	SNR = S = 2 4.68×10^{-3} 5.41×10^{-2} 2.59×10^{-3}	= $10dB$ S = 3 3.87×10^{-3} 5.03×10^{-2} 2.10×10^{-3}	S = 4 3.87 × 10 ⁻³ 5.03 × 10 ⁻² 2.10 × 10 ⁻³			
$\frac{\xi_{tot}}{\xi_{int}}$ $\frac{\xi_{ext}}{\xi_{ext}}$	S = 1 9.16 × 10 ⁻³ 8.02 × 10 ⁻² 6.17 × 10 ⁻³	SNR = S = 2 4.68×10^{-3} 5.41×10^{-2} 2.59×10^{-3} SNR	= $10dB$ S = 3 3.87×10^{-3} 5.03×10^{-2} 2.10×10^{-3} = $5dB$	S = 4 3.87 × 10 ⁻³ 5.03 × 10 ⁻² 2.10 × 10 ⁻³			
$\frac{\xi_{tot}}{\xi_{int}}$	S = 1 9.16×10^{-3} 8.02×10^{-2} 6.17×10^{-3} S = 1	SNR = S = 2 4.68×10^{-3} 5.41×10^{-2} 2.59×10^{-3} SNR S = 2	= $10dB$ S = 3 3.87×10^{-3} 5.03×10^{-2} 2.10×10^{-3} = $5dB$ S = 3	S = 4 3.87×10^{-3} 5.03×10^{-2} 2.10×10^{-3} S = 4			
$\frac{\xi_{tot}}{\xi_{int}}$ $\frac{\xi_{ext}}{\xi_{tot}}$	S = 1 9.16 × 10 ⁻³ 8.02 × 10 ⁻² 6.17 × 10 ⁻³ S = 1 1.02 × 10 ⁻²	SNR = S = 2 4.68×10^{-3} 5.41×10^{-2} 2.59×10^{-3} SNR S = 2 5.50×10^{-3}	= $10dB$ S = 3 3.87×10^{-3} 5.03×10^{-2} 2.10×10^{-3} = $5dB$ S = 3 3.85×10^{-3}	S = 4 3.87 × 10 ⁻³ 5.03 × 10 ⁻² 2.10 × 10 ⁻³ $S = 4$ 3.85 × 10 ⁻³			
$\frac{\xi_{tot}}{\xi_{int}}$ $\frac{\xi_{ext}}{\xi_{tot}}$	S = 1 9.16 × 10 ⁻³ 8.02 × 10 ⁻² 6.17 × 10 ⁻³ S = 1 1.02 × 10 ⁻² 7.92 × 10 ⁻²	$SNR = 0$ $S = 2$ 4.68×10^{-3} 5.41×10^{-2} 2.59×10^{-3} SNR $S = 2$ 5.50×10^{-3} 6.05×10^{-2}	= $10dB$ S = 3 3.87×10^{-3} 5.03×10^{-2} 2.10×10^{-3} = $5dB$ S = 3 3.85×10^{-3} 4.50×10^{-2}	S = 4 3.87 × 10 ⁻³ 5.03 × 10 ⁻² 2.10 × 10 ⁻³ $S = 4$ 3.85 × 10 ⁻³ 4.50 × 10 ⁻²			

Table VII: L-shaped Object, $\ell = 1.5\lambda$, $\tau = 0.10$ - Reconstruction errors: total (ξ_{tot}) , internal (ξ_{int}) and external (ξ_{ext}) errors.

	SNR = 50dB				
	S = 1	S = 2	S=3	S = 4	
$L^{(S)}$	6.00	1.80	1.80	1.80	
$N^{(S)}$	100	208	208	208	
$Q^{(S)}$	100	144	36	36	
		SNR =	= 20 dB		
	S = 1	S=2	S=3	S = 4	
$L^{(S)}$	6.00	1.80	1.80	1.80	
$N^{(S)}$	100	208	208	208	
$Q^{(S)}$	100	144	36	36	
		SNR =	= 10 dB		
	S = 1	S=2	S=3	S = 4	
$L^{(S)}$	6.00	1.80	1.80	1.80	
$N^{(S)}$	100	208	208	208	
$Q^{(S)}$	100	144	36	36	
		SNR	= 5dB		
	S = 1	S=2	S=3	S = 4	
$L^{(S)}$	6.00	1.80	1.80	1.80	
$N^{(S)}$	100	208	208	208	
$Q^{(S)}$	100	144	36	36	

Table VIII: L-shaped Object, $\ell = 1.5\lambda$, $\tau = 0.15$ - Investigation domain parameters: restricted investigation domain size $L^{(S)}$, total number of cells $N^{(S)}$ and number of cells within the restricted domain size $Q^{(S)}$.



Figure 5: L-shaped Object, $\ell = 1.5\lambda$, $\tau = 0.20$ - (a) Actual profile and (b)-(o) IMSA-BCS reconstructed profiles for (b)(e)(h) SNR = 20 [dB], (c)(f)(i) SNR = 10 [dB] and (d)(g)(l) SNR = 5 [dB] at the step (b)-(d) S = 1, (e)-(g) S = 2, and (h)-(l) S = 3.

	SNR = 50 dB					
	S = 1	S=2	S=3	S = 4		
ξ_{tot}	1.21×10^{-2}	6.02×10^{-3}	4.90×10^{-3}	4.90×10^{-3}		
ξ_{int}	1.07×10^{-1}	7.30×10^{-2}	5.81×10^{-2}	5.81×10^{-2}		
ξ_{ext}	8.04×10^{-3}	$3.23 imes 10^{-3}$	2.58×10^{-3}	2.58×10^{-3}		
		SNR =	= 20 <i>dB</i>			
	S = 1	S=2	S=3	S = 4		
ξ_{tot}	1.19×10^{-2}	5.81×10^{-3}	4.83×10^{-3}	4.83×10^{-3}		
ξ_{int}	1.03×10^{-1}	6.95×10^{-2}	5.87×10^{-2}	5.87×10^{-2}		
ξ_{ext}	$7.98 imes 10^{-3}$	3.13×10^{-3}	2.51×10^{-3}	2.51×10^{-3}		
50000						
		SNR =	= 10 <i>dB</i>			
	S = 1	SNR = S = 2	= 10dB $S = 3$	S = 4		
ξtot	$S = 1$ 1.27×10^{-2}	$SNR = $ $S = 2$ 6.40×10^{-3}	= $10dB$ S = 3 5.23×10^{-3}	$S = 4$ 5.23×10^{-3}		
ξ_{tot} ξ_{int}	S = 1 1.27×10^{-2} 1.07×10^{-1}	SNR = S = 2 6.40×10^{-3} 7.19×10^{-2}	= $10dB$ S = 3 5.23×10^{-3} 6.41×10^{-2}	S = 4 5.23×10^{-3} 6.41×10^{-2}		
$\frac{\xi_{tot}}{\xi_{int}}$	S = 1 1.27 × 10 ⁻² 1.07 × 10 ⁻¹ 8.49 × 10 ⁻³	SNR = S = 2 6.40×10^{-3} 7.19×10^{-2} 3.47×10^{-3}	= $10dB$ S = 3 5.23×10^{-3} 6.41×10^{-2} 2.75×10^{-3}	S = 4 5.23 × 10 ⁻³ 6.41 × 10 ⁻² 2.75 × 10 ⁻³		
$\frac{\xi_{tot}}{\xi_{int}}$	$S = 1$ 1.27×10^{-2} 1.07×10^{-1} 8.49×10^{-3}	SNR = S = 2 6.40×10^{-3} 7.19×10^{-2} 3.47×10^{-3} SNR =	= $10dB$ S = 3 5.23×10^{-3} 6.41×10^{-2} 2.75×10^{-3} = $5dB$	S = 4 5.23 × 10 ⁻³ 6.41 × 10 ⁻² 2.75 × 10 ⁻³		
$\frac{\xi_{tot}}{\xi_{int}}$	S = 1 1.27 × 10 ⁻² 1.07 × 10 ⁻¹ 8.49 × 10 ⁻³ S = 1	SNR = S = 2 6.40×10^{-3} 7.19×10^{-2} 3.47×10^{-3} SNR = S = 2	= $10dB$ S = 3 5.23×10^{-3} 6.41×10^{-2} 2.75×10^{-3} = $5dB$ S = 3	S = 4 5.23 × 10 ⁻³ 6.41 × 10 ⁻² 2.75 × 10 ⁻³ S = 4		
$\frac{\xi_{tot}}{\xi_{int}}$ $\frac{\xi_{ext}}{\xi_{tot}}$	$S = 1$ 1.27×10^{-2} 1.07×10^{-1} 8.49×10^{-3} $S = 1$ 1.44×10^{-2}	SNR = S = 2 6.40×10^{-3} 7.19×10^{-2} 3.47×10^{-3} SNR = S = 2 8.26×10^{-3}	= $10dB$ S = 3 5.23×10^{-3} 6.41×10^{-2} 2.75×10^{-3} = $5dB$ S = 3 5.85×10^{-3}	S = 4 5.23 × 10 ⁻³ 6.41 × 10 ⁻² 2.75 × 10 ⁻³ $S = 4$ 5.85 × 10 ⁻³		
$\frac{\xi_{tot}}{\xi_{int}}$ $\frac{\xi_{ext}}{\xi_{tot}}$	$S = 1$ 1.27×10^{-2} 1.07×10^{-1} 8.49×10^{-3} $S = 1$ 1.44×10^{-2} 1.06×10^{-1}	SNR = S = 2 6.40×10^{-3} 7.19×10^{-2} 3.47×10^{-3} SNR = SNR = 8.26×10^{-3} 7.72×10^{-2}	= $10dB$ S = 3 5.23×10^{-3} 6.41×10^{-2} 2.75×10^{-3} = $5dB$ S = 3 5.85×10^{-3} 5.98×10^{-2}	S = 4 5.23 × 10 ⁻³ 6.41 × 10 ⁻² 2.75 × 10 ⁻³ $S = 4$ 5.85 × 10 ⁻³ 5.98 × 10 ⁻²		

Table IX: L-shaped Object, $\ell = 1.5\lambda$, $\tau = 0.20$ - Reconstruction errors: total (ξ_{tot}) , internal (ξ_{int}) and external (ξ_{ext}) errors.

	SNR = 50 dB				
	S = 1	S = 2	S=3	S = 4	
$L^{(S)}$	6.00	1.80	1.80	1.80	
$N^{(S)}$	100	208	208	208	
$Q^{(S)}$	100	144	36	36	
		SNR =	= 20 dB		
	S = 1	S=2	S=3	S = 4	
$L^{(S)}$	6.00	1.80	1.80	1.80	
$N^{(S)}$	100	208	208	208	
$Q^{(S)}$	100	144	36	36	
		SNR =	= 10 dB		
	S = 1	S=2	S=3	S = 4	
$L^{(S)}$	6.00	1.80	1.80	1.80	
$N^{(S)}$	100	208	208	208	
$Q^{(S)}$	100	144	36	36	
		SNR	= 5dB		
	S = 1	S=2	S=3	S = 4	
$L^{(S)}$	6.00	1.80	1.80	1.80	
$N^{(S)}$	100	208	208	208	
$Q^{(S)}$	100	144	36	36	

Table X: L-shaped Object, $\ell = 1.5\lambda$, $\tau = 0.20$ - Investigation domain parameters: restricted investigation domain size $L^{(S)}$, total number of cells $N^{(S)}$ and number of cells within the restricted domain size $Q^{(S)}$.

2.1.6 L-shaped Object, $\ell = 1.5\lambda$, $\tau = 0.20$ - IMSA-BCS multi-resolution grids



Figure 6: L-shaped Object, $\ell = 1.5\lambda$, $\tau = 0.20$ - Example of IMSA-BCS multi-resolution grids for (a)(d)SNR = 20 [dB], (b)(e) SNR = 10 [dB] and (c)(f) SNR = 5 [dB] at the step (a)-(c) S = 1 and (d)-(f) S = 2, 3.

2.1.7 L-shaped Object, $\ell = 1.5\lambda$ - Resume: Errors vs. τ



Figure 7: L-shaped Object, $\ell = 1.5\lambda$ - Reconstruction errors vs. τ : (a) total error, (b) internal error and (c) external error.

2.1.8 L-shaped Object, $\ell = 1.5\lambda$ - Resume: Errors vs. SNR



Figure 8: L-shaped Object, $\ell = 1.5\lambda$ - Reconstruction errors vs. SNR: (a) total error, (b) internal error and (c) external error.



Figure 9: L-shaped Object, $\ell = 1.5\lambda$ - Reconstruction errors vs. IMSA step, S: (a)(b) total error, (c)(d) internal error and (e)(f) external error for $(a)(c)(e) \tau = 0.1$ and $(b)(d)(f) \tau = 0.2$.



Figure 10: L-shaped Object, $\ell = 1.5\lambda$ - Reconstruction errors vs. IMSA step, S: (a)(b) total error, (c)(d) internal error and (e)(f) external error for (a)(c)(e) SNR = 10dB and (b)(d)(f) SNR = 5dB.

References

- M. Salucci, G. Oliveri, and A. Massa, "GPR prospecting through an inverse scattering frequency-hopping multi-focusing approach," *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, vol. 53, no. 12, pp. 6573-6592, Dec. 2015.
- [2] M. Salucci, L. Poli, N. Anselmi, and A. Massa, "Multifrequency Particle Swarm Optimization for enhanced multiresolution GPR microwave imaging," *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, vol. 55, no. 3, pp. 1305-1317, Mar. 2017.
- [3] M. Salucci, L. Poli, and A. Massa, "Advanced multi-frequency GPR data processing for non-linear deterministic imaging," *Signal Processing* - Special Issue on 'Advanced Ground-Penetrating Radar Signal-Processing Techniques,' vol. 132, pp. 306-318, Mar. 2017.
- [4] N. Anselmi, G. Oliveri, M. Salucci, and A. Massa, "Wavelet-based compressive imaging of sparse targets," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 63, no. 11, pp. 4889-4900, Nov. 2015.
- [5] G. Oliveri, M. Salucci, N. Anselmi, and A. Massa, "Compressive sensing as applied to inverse problems for imaging: theory, applications, current trends, and open challenges," *IEEE Antennas Propag. Mag.* -Special Issue on "Electromagnetic Inverse Problems for Sensing and Imaging," vol. 59, no. 5, pp. 34-46, Oct. 2017.
- [6] A. Massa, P. Rocca, and G. Oliveri, "Compressive sensing in electromagnetics A review," IEEE Antennas Propag. Mag., pp. 224-238, vol. 57, no. 1, Feb. 2015.
- [7] N. Anselmi, L. Poli, G. Oliveri, and A. Massa, "Iterative multi-resolution bayesian CS for microwave imaging," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 66, no. 7, pp. 3665-3677, Jul. 2018.
- [8] N. Anselmi, G. Oliveri, M. A. Hannan, M. Salucci, and A. Massa, "Color compressive sensing imaging of arbitrary-shaped scatterers," *IEEE Trans. Microw. Theory Techn.*, vol. 65, no. 6, pp. 1986-1999, Jun. 2017.
- [9] G. Oliveri, N. Anselmi, and A. Massa, "Compressive sensing imaging of non-sparse 2D scatterers by a total-variation approach within the Born approximation," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 62, no. 10, pp. 5157-5170, Oct. 2014.
- [10] L. Poli, G. Oliveri, and A. Massa, "Imaging sparse metallic cylinders through a local shape function Bayesian compressive sensing approach," *Journal of Optical Society of America A*, vol. 30, no. 6, pp. 1261-1272, 2013.
- [11] L. Poli, G. Oliveri, F. Viani, and A. Massa, "MT-BCS-based microwave imaging approach through minimum-norm current expansion," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 61, no. 9, pp. 4722-4732, Sep. 2013.
- [12] F. Viani, L. Poli, G. Oliveri, F. Robol, and A. Massa, "Sparse scatterers imaging through approximated multitask compressive sensing strategies," *Microwave Opt. Technol. Lett.*, vol. 55, no. 7, pp. 1553-1558, Jul. 2013.

- [13] L. Poli, G. Oliveri, P. Rocca, and A. Massa, "Bayesian compressive sensing approaches for the reconstruction of two-dimensional sparse scatterers under TE illumination," *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, vol. 51, no. 5, pp. 2920-2936, May 2013.
- [14] L. Poli, G. Oliveri, and A. Massa, "Microwave imaging within the first-order Born approximation by means of the contrast-field Bayesian compressive sensing," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 60, no. 6, pp. 2865-2879, Jun. 2012.
- [15] G. Oliveri, L. Poli, P. Rocca, and A. Massa, "Bayesian compressive optical imaging within the Rytov approximation," *Optics Letters*, vol. 37, no. 10, pp. 1760-1762, 2012.
- [16] G. Oliveri, P. Rocca, and A. Massa, "A Bayesian compressive sampling-based inversion for imaging sparse scatterers," *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, vol. 49, no. 10, pp. 3993-4006, Oct. 2011.
- [17] G. Oliveri, M. Salucci, and N. Anselmi, "Tomographic imaging of sparse low-contrast targets in harsh environments through matrix completion," *IEEE Trans. Microw. Theory Tech.*, vol. 66, no. 6, pp. 2714-2730, Jun. 2018.
- [18] M. Salucci, A. Gelmini, L. Poli, G. Oliveri, and A. Massa, "Progressive compressive sensing for exploiting frequency-diversity in GPR imaging," *Journal of Electromagnetic Waves and Applications*, vol. 32, no. 9, pp. 1164- 1193, 2018.